

#### Fighting DDoS attacks @ AMS-IX: A story of pain and tears

Stavros Konstantaras INEX members meeting 2025 20-3-2025

#### **Few intro words**

- About me
  - Sr. Network Engineer
    @ NOC (8+yr)
  - MSc in System & Network Engineering from UvA
  - Main focus in big technical projects (design, implement & operate)
  - Active member of RIPE, EURO-IX, NLNOG & GRNOG

#### About AMS-IX

- 16 locations in NL
- 14 Tbps of traffic,
- ~ 870 ASNs
- ~1300 MACs
- Route Servers hold
  - ~330.000 IPv4
  - ~ 80.000 IPv6
- Our own stub network
  - AS1200



## **Types of DDoS attacks**



- Volume based Attacks (Gbps)
  - UDP/ICMP/other floods
  - End goal is to saturate the bandwidth
- Protocol Attacks (Pps)
  - SYN floods/Ping of Death/Fragmented Packets etc.
  - End goal is to consume host resources but also <u>resources from</u> <u>intermediate nodes</u>
- Application Layer Attacks (Rps)
  - GET/POST attacks, OS vulnerabilities, etc. etc.
  - End goal is to make the software handling the requests to crash.

#### **Our DDoS attack saga**



- It all started back in June 2020
  - Sudden disruption of office connectivity & VPN users
  - No email/instant messaging/Nagios/DNS/transit, etc. (therefore, no access to internal and external resources)
  - We became blacked out for several minutes and then recovery was happening by itself (but very slowly)
  - AMS-IX customers and peering LAN were not affected though, transit and BGP sessions didn't flap either.
  - And after that incident, every month the same story ... ⊗

#### The anatomy of our attack



- UPD at destination port 53 (small to medium size packets)
- Destination IP 185.55.136.36 (our public facing nameserver)
- Source IP: <\*>
- Source port: <\*>

- Overall volume of the traffic was few Mbps!!!

#### Here comes the puzzle



#### • If DDoS attack is only few Mbps, then how did our network collapse?

- Is there a bottleneck on the network?
- Did all nameservers collapse simultaneously?



#### Overview of our Admin Network

Key components are:

- 2 Cisco ASR 1001 Routers
- 2 firewall clusters of 2 PA 3050 (act/pass)
- A management layer of several Dell switches running Pluribus OS in a spine/leaf topology utilizing fabric technology
- Redundant Nameservers
  running on PowerDNS



# Handling incoming requests



#### Let's take a DNS query for example

- 1. Query arrives at border router.
- 2. Border router performs initial check, forwards the packet to the firewall.
- 3. Firewall performs in-depth check of the query packet.
- 4. If valid, query packet is forwarded to DNS server.
- 5. DNS server crafts a reply and sends it to default gateway.
- 6. Firewall receives the response, registers it and forwards it to border router.
- 7. Border router sends it to next hop.



#### A look in the security zones



- DNS requests coming from public internet are placed in the untrust zone
- They are forwarded to DMZ zone.
- DMZ zone contains all public facing services (DNS, email, etc).





## And again, and again, and again





#### It was a chain reaction



- 1. Valid DNS queries arrive in our domain
- 2. Firewalls register the session in the session table
- 3. They are forwarded to our nameserver
- 4. Before old sessions expire, new sessions are being created
- 5. Session table on Firewalls gets full and firewall freaks out

- 6. LACP connections between FWs and Management switches drop.
- 7. OSPF sessions between Firewalls and RTRs drops
- 8. Internal infrastructure loses default gateway (firewalls)
- 9. Huge amount of syslog messages is being created.

10. Netflow discovered to be enabled as well!!!

## **Can Firewalls help themselves?**

PA's Zone Protection to the rescue?

A Zone Protection profile with flood protection configured defends an entire ingress zone against SYN, ICMP, ICMPv6, UDP, and other IP flood attacks.

Name A	MS-IX DNS DE	DOS Protection					
Flood Protection	Reconnaissa	nce Protection	Packet Based Attack Protection	Protocol	Protection	Ethernet SGT Protec	tion
SYN					Other IP		
Action Rar	ndom Early Droj	~ v	Alarm Rate (connections/sec)	10000	Alarm	Rate (connections/sec)	10000
Alarm Rate (co	nnections/sec)	10000	Activate (connections/sec)	10000	Act	ivate (connections/sec)	10000
Activate (co	nnections/sec)	10000	Maximum (connections/sec)	40000	Maxi	mum (connections/sec)	40000
Maximum (co	nnections/sec)	40000					
UDP			Alarm Rate (connections/sec)	10000			
Alarm Rate (co	nnections/sec)	10000	Activate (connections/sec)	10000			
Activate (co	nnections/sec)	10000	Maximum (connections/sec)	40000			
Maximum (co	nnections/sec)	40000					

According to Datasheet

New sessions per second	50,000
Max sessions	500,000



## Unfortunately, not 🛞



System Resources	S X
Management CPU	50%
Data Plane CPU	100%
Session Count	5774 / 524286



# • During the next attack we discovered the truth:

 The rate of new flows per second (aka new sessions) was much faster compared to what the firewall can handle.





- PA's DoS protection didn't work
- No other system to protect us
- Contract for NBIP's NaWas DDoS protection, but:
  - Never tested
  - No router configuration for it
  - (Almost) no documentation

\*Nationale Beheersorganisatie Internet Providers

#### NAWAS is our shield #1





- NBIP operates a scrubbing center, connected to different Tier 1 providers.
- Under normal conditions:
  - Advertise your prefixes via transit/public peering
  - Maintain a hot standby BGP session with NBIP

#### NAWAS is our shield #2





- Attack scenario:
  - Advertise more specific to NBIP
  - NBIP propagates quickly to the rest of the Internet.
- NBIP will attract traffic for the specific prefix:
  - Scrubs the "dirt" packets
  - Sends the clean traffic over the dedicated BGP session.

#### **Sleeves up and time to work**



#### 1. SysOps actions

- Move public-facing nameservers in the cloud.
- Protect them with the built-in DDoS solution.

#### 2. NOC actions

• Design and build a solution that puts an end to that.

## **First round of improvements**



- Review and fix the Cisco configuration
  - Make it as simple as possible for every NOC engineer to execute it during an attack
- Document it properly
- Correctly test it and fine tune it

# But how do you test it properly?



- Shall I order a "DDoS as a Service" from Dark Web?
  - But they don't accept my AMEX ☺
- NBIP had a testing machine
  - But it was out-of-service that period !!!
- Buy a VM from 3rd party hosting company and execute some tools (e.g., hping3)
  - Unforeseen problem: all known hosting providers are AMS-IX customers (hence 1-hop away) !!!

#### **DIY DDoS attack**



- Got a VM from a small Spanish hosting Provider
  - ~ 5-6 hops away
  - uRPF disabled
  - Lots of resources (CPU & RAM)
- Python & Scapy at hand
  - 2 scripts (300 lines in total):
    - a traffic generator\* that produces and stores
      DNS queries in pcap files
    - 2. An attack script that loads the pcaps and sends the packets over the uplink as fast as possible.







## Can we automate this success?

- NOC still needs to wake up in the middle of the night to mitigate an attack of few Mbps
- By the time you try to mitigate, it's already too late
  - Firewalls have already collapsed; thus, VPN concentrators are unreachable.





#### What are we missing?



- We have the "shield", but we need the brain to engage it
  - We need a "system" that:
    - Can recognize (multiple) DDoS attacks
    - Will handle the AS1200 BGP advertisements
    - Will stay up and running regardless of firewall or management network status.
    - Reliable, future proof and cheap.

• And we need to "glue" the brain with the shield

### We found the brain !!!

FastNetmon to the rescue



- Can also detect flow-based attacks
- Community (free) and Advanced edition
- Multiple sampling technologies are supported
- Automation ready/friendly
- Can mitigate attacks using GoBGP/ExaBGP
- But how do you glue those parts together?



FAS

## **Peering LAN is the magic glue!**



To protect the traffic samples, we use the power of the peering LAN.

- Reliable, stable, with huge capacity
- We bypass the management network and the firewalls
- IXP prefix is <u>not</u> advertised and is <u>not</u> routable
- All components are NOC 24/7 monitored



# Selecting a signaling method



- To handle the router advertisements of Cisco's
  - Multiple approaches were considered:
    - SSH, HTTP API, BGP
  - We opted for BGP over Bird
    - NOC team has good experience with Bird (and plenty of internal documentation)
    - BGP session can be monitored 24x7
    - Signaling over established BGP session is fast
    - We can use BGP communities for fine tuning.

# **Building an automation pipeline**

Components used:

- Fastnetmon Community
- Netflow
- Python + Jinja2
- Bird2
- iBGP + BGP communities
- Cisco route maps





## **Different strategies per AFI**



- If a prefix arrives to border router from Bird
  - IPv4: if prefix is tagged with 1200:511
    - Block the propagation to transit and peers
    - Allow it to NBIP
  - IPv6: if prefix is tagged with 1200:511
    - Withdraw the announcement from transit and peers
    - Allow it to NBIP

#### **Does it work?**

- New DNS-based exercise attack:
  - Did a combination of DNS and ICMP
  - Executed it 2 times
  - 2M packets with IPv4 destination
  - 2M packets with IPv6 destination
- ~45 seconds from time we launch the attack until the time it is completely mitigated
- In both cases, NOC didn't perform any manual action or intervention !
- IPv6 Mitigation didn't work ☺





RTR-DR1-01\_cisco#show ip bgp neighbors 194.62.128.2 advertised-routes

Load for five secs: 16%/6%; one minute: 38%; five minutes: 30% Time source is NTP, 17:22:52.312 CET Fri Mar 18 2022

BGP table version is 312561314, local router ID is 91.200.16.1 Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, \* valid, > best, i - internal,

r RIB-failure, S Stale, m multipath, b backup-path, f RT-Filter, x best-external, a additional-path, c RIB-compressed, Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete RPKI validation codes: V valid. I invalid. N Not found

NetworkNext HopMetric LocPrf Weight PathV\*>i 185.55.136.0/2491.200.16.201000 iV\*> 185.55.137.0/2491.200.16.111132768 i

Total number of prefixes 2

#### But does it really work?

21/Apr/23

21/Apr/23

22/Apr/23

22/Apr/23

22/Apr/23

22/Apr/23

21/Apr/23

21/Apr/23

22/Apr/23

Unassigned

Unassigned

Unassigned

FastNetMon Guard: IP 91.200.16.100

blocked because incoming attack with

power 7598 pps

power 7471 pps

power 7479 pps

power 7275 pps

power 7326 pps

power 7660 pps

blocked because incoming attack with



# We had a flow-based attack 6 times at the same night!!!



Attacks registered successfully at the ticketing system but:

AMSNOC-

AMSNOC-

AMSNOC-

AMSNOC-

AMSNOC-

AMSNOC-

218220

218218

218217

218215

218214

218213

NEW

NEW

NEW

NEW

NEW

NEW

- Standby engineer was not called
- Attacks mitigated successfully
- No complains received to FLS

#### From zero to hero !





#### **Some final automation touch**





#### Link it to our NMS

#### Grafana Dashboard

#### **Lessons learned**



#### • It was a bumpy ride

- We had to build everything from scratch
- We had to tweak NBIP's thresholds
- Lots of Netflow tuning (please don't use it)
- ROAs had to be adjusted (no max length)
- IPv6 still needs work (at FNM side)
- We had to train ourselves on these situations
- Sometimes management needs to understand the impact

#### **Future steps**



- Fastnetmon Community → Advanced (done)
- Border routers replacement
  - Cisco ASR 1001 → Juniper MX204 (done)
- Netflow → IPFIX (done)
  - Improve reaction time
- Improve mitigation algorithm (WiP)
  - Use RTBH for specific cases
- Adopt Flowspec (WiP)

## Key take-aways



#### • If you are a small (stub) network:

- 1. Consider adopting a DDoS protection solution **now**
- 2. You can have a complete & reliable implementation with open-source tools and small budget
- 3. Keep your router's OS & documentation up-to-date
- 4. Consider thresholds for traffic redirection
- 5. Implement for IPv6 attacks as well
- 6. Re-think your ROAs





